

Better reception in the ivory tower: academia and the smartphone naturalist

richard.grenyer@ouce.ox.ac.uk



Smartphones' main role in biodiversity informatics usually thought to be as a data source

Scale is based on the average traffic of 3g -iphone from United Kingdom in all years. Learn more



Scale is based on the average worldwide traffic of 3g -iphone in all years. Learn more



Mobile Internet use, the defining feature of smartphones is ubiquitous in the EU, and nearly so in much of the rest of the world

Biodiversity science

- Broadly speaking, one of two analyses:
- Either testing a model of how a biological system functions say predicting the distribution of a species based on biology and observations,



Biodiversity science

- Broadly speaking, one of two analyses:
- Either testing a model of how a biological system functions say predicting the distribution of a species based on biology and observations,
- Or a theory-free pattern/ phenomenon based analysis to determine a practical result say deciding how to most efficiently allocate conservation effort.



Tapping the cloud of geoexplicit biodiversity data

- To improve my work I'd like to know:
- Am I missing distribution data? Can I augment, or even get new data sets, from what others are saying?
- Are distributions of species changing? At what scale? Can I see it in real time?
- Can we just mine Internet content and deal with the mess?



Scale is based on the average traffic of cicadas from United States in all years. Learn more



μ.

Scale is based on the average traffic of mayfly from United Kingdom in all years. Learn more



Scale is based on the average traffic of geese from United Kingdom in all years. Learn more







Subregions		Cities	
1. Northern Ireland, United Kingdom	<u> </u>	1. Farnborough, United Kingdom	
2. Wales, United Kingdom		2. Hull, United Kingdom	
3. Scotland, United Kingdom		3. Belfast, United Kingdom	
4. England, United Kingdom		4. Leeds, United Kingdom	
		5. Cardiff, United Kingdom	
		6. Portsmouth, United Kingdom	

.

Nottingham, United







there is a huge bird nest in the tree outside my house what kind of bird make this lol





geographers to chat and listen

. .



there is a huge bird nest in the tree outside my house what kind of bird make this lol

Data quality issues and efficiency

- Mining unsanitised, uncurated social media data is going to involve:
- Unsupervised classification
- Intensive contextual analysis and fuzzy matching
- Biodiversity informatics ontologies (which don't always exist, or work if they do)



• Time

Expert sources of information

- Unsupervised mining might eventually be very interesting.
- Mining expert sources of information may be more practical in the short term
- Expert naturalists are increasingly trailing high quality biodiversity data through social networking media.
- But ubiquitous smartphones might provide another solution



The chequered relations of professional & amateur naturalists

- "Amateur-expert" naturalists are fully aware of the value and uses of their data.
- There were 100,000 amateurs involved in biodiversity data collection in the UK in 2002.
- The majority of the UK's biodiversity distribution data holding was collected by unpaid effort.
- Relations between collectors of data and the sponsors/users/ curators/policy makers involved with the data are not always good.



Ellis and Waterton, C. 2004 Environmental citizenship in the making: the participation of volunteer naturalists in UK biological recording and biodiversity policy, Science and Public Policy, 31, 2, 95-105.

Conflict- "Professionals" & "Naturalists"

- Naturalists are nerds
- Naturalists can and should hand over data to inform science or policy
- This can just happen without effort or disruption.

Caveat: Ellis' study refers to professional conservationists not scientists

- Professionals are "suits" with no natural history understanding.
- Data users will lose or not attribute data
- No say over data use
- Users doesn't care about people, only data
- Using other data which is so poor that naturalists are devalued

Ellis' conclusion:

- "Passion and wonder are alive and kicking"
- Holding onto passion and wonder is a challenge when negotiating conflicted data use agreements (!)
- Arrangements can work but only by playing to the two parties' retrospective strengths.



Some thoughts

- Science needs data free from encumberment, but not attribution or goodwill.
- Naturalists value good tools that improve the experience of being a naturalist.
- Smartphone technology of all things might be a partial solution to data use and dissemination conflicts.
- The most important thing a smartphone does is not transmit data, but be able to *receive* it.







.

























.









Games		Leader	rboard	Achi	evements		
Image: With State							
Leaderboard: CARDBOARDARD (LITE) V CARDBOARDARD (LITE)							
*> 1 FRIEND & *> ALL 2,365,226 PLAYERS &				65,226 PLAYERS &			
1	cro_mag_non Top 5%	44	1,200	1	monyet	2,000,036,897	
				2	Juan805	1,302,033,300	
				3	ErykHalas	510,043,700	
				4	鄧紫棋	179,999,991	
				5	Mantuz	203,200	
				6	fq938	102,300	
				7	Chris Masterson	51,400	
		1	1				

Different rewards for different users

- ID confirmation
- Unadulterated academic/theoretical benefit
- Transform to some kind of score:
 - X% knowledge increased!
 - X km new range suggested!!
 - You Increased the conservation value by X ranks!
- Make this a social network tool : " X just found another unusual observation! You're getting left behind - get your binoculars and get out there!"



Biodiversity Spam!?

- The users of social networks are more forgiving than others targeted advertising is the the *sine qua non* of Google and Facebook.
- But Twitter is particularly amenable to automated contact with users.
- "Bot" following on keywords is a tolerated activity, to a degree.
- Why not offer the reward to people who didn't ask for it, in exchange for better observation quality?

Conclusions

- Mining unsanitized cloud data is going to be fun, but hard.
- A reciprocal mutualism between more expert users and biodiversity science might be more interesting in the very short term.
- Idea 1: provide a (transformed) science-outcome return to users in real time to provide recompense for what is actually a liberated, unencumbered data set.
- Idea 2: the reward needs to a) match the type and interests of the naturalist and b) augment the passion and wonder of being a naturalist - something we all feel.

Better reception in the ivory tower: academia and the smartphone naturalist

richard.grenyer@ouce.ox.ac.uk